



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,705	06/23/2006	Dennis N Petrakis	27232.03	7679
37833	7590	01/18/2008	EXAMINER	
LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD. P.O. BOX 15035 CRYSTAL CITY STATION ARLINGTON, VA 22215			SMITH, RICHARD A	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2859		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		01/18/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/549,705	PETRAKIS, DENNIS N
	<b>Examiner</b> R. Alexander Smith	<b>Art Unit</b> 2859

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) R. Alexander Smith. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard J. Apley. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 January 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 246 and 248.

Identification of prior art discussed: Not Applicable.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



**RICHARD SMITH**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Apley contacted the examiner about the Office action mailed on December 31, 2007 regarding inconsistencies between the allowable matter and the applied rejections. The double patenting rejection addressed claim 248; however, under the section titled Allowable Subject Matter claim 248 was not addressed but claim 246 was stated as being allowable upon overcoming the double patenting rejection. Furthermore, claim 246 was listed on the Office Action Summary as being rejected but was not addressed in the rejection except as noted above. In clarification of the above Office action, claim 246 is allowable and claim 248 would be allowable upon overcoming the double patenting rejection.